

Drug action(s), drug marketing, and clinical medicine. Suppression of ventricular arrhythmogenicity with *If* blockade in human heart failure: emerging clinical evidence for ivabradine treatment benefit beyond heart rate control

Mechanizm(y) działania leku, strategia marketingowa producenta a medycyna kliniczna. Redukcja „automatycznej” arytmogenności komorowej przez hamowanie kanałów *If* w mięśniu lewej komory u pacjentów z niewydolnością serca: podstawy patofizjologiczne i pojawiające się dowody kliniczne na korzystne działanie iwabradyny poza kontrolą rytmu serca

Piotr Musiałek

Department of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, Jagiellonian University Institute of Cardiology, John Paul II Hospital, Krakow, Poland

INTRODUCTION

Ivabradine has been continually marketed as “a pure heart rate lowering agent” (ditto) [1] whose “cardiac effects are specific to the sinus node” (ditto) [1]. A recent article in “Kardiologia Polska” [2] contributes importantly to the accumulating evidence that the cardiac effects of ivabradine are not only wider than specified by the manufacturer’s (simplified) marketing strategies but are also relevant to clinical cardiology [2]. This is not surprising because it is in agreement with a sizeable body of consistent animal data generated over the years and with reproducible studies in human myocardial tissue.

The role of marketing is to provide simple solutions to questions made look simple. Drug marketing, in the process of simplifying the acquisition and evaluation of new product information [3, 4], has a fundamental task to make the clinical answers and pharmacological solutions look simple. Today, drug marketing exerts a significant effect on the knowledge and awareness of physicians [3, 4]. Despite a number of clear downsides [3, 4], drug marketing is perceived as being associated with several advantages that, according to physicians, include easy exposure to new information, time saved on searching and accessing journal articles, easiness to remember the new information, and facilitated access to information on alternative pharmacological agents and strategies [3, 4].

The role of the physician is to treat diseases that are often complex. Reality, and biological reality in particular, proves often more complex than the marketing strategies would desire.

Ivabradine exhibits its pharmacological action by inhibiting the *If* current [5], which, due to its function in the heart, is often labelled the ‘pacemaker’ current [5]. In normal hearts, *f*-channels (HCN channels) are functionally expressed exclusively in the sinoatrial node cell membrane [5]. *If* is a mixed sodium and potassium current that is spontaneously activated at negative cell membrane potentials [5]. The flow of *If* causes spontaneous diastolic depolarisations and evokes spontaneous action potentials [5]. In the healthy heart, the treatment effect of ivabradine is indeed limited to the sinoatrial node because in the healthy heart the functional expression of *f*-channels is limited to the sinoatrial node cells [5].

There is robust evidence that myocardial disease alters the electrophysiological properties of the cardiac cells [6, 7]. In heart failure (HF) in man, one of the important disease-induced alterations is functional expression of the *f*-channels in the “ventricular” myocardium [6, 7]. This pathologic expression of *If* in human ventricular myocytes provides a basis [6, 7] for *If*-dependent spontaneous action potentials that may propagate in the ventricular myocardium [6, 8, 9]. Electrocardiography (ECG) manifestation of the *If*-driven ectopic electrical activity may involve (depending on local tissue con-

Address for correspondence:

Piotr Musiałek, MD, DPhil FESC, Jagiellonian University Professor of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, Jagiellonian University Institute of Cardiology, John Paul II Hospital, ul. Prądnicka 80, 31–202 Kraków, Poland, e-mail: pmusialek@szpitaljp2.krakow.pl

Kardiologia Polska Copyright © Polskie Towarzystwo Kardiologiczne 2017

ditions and external stimuli such as adrenergic activation [6]) isolated ventricular extrasystoles or runs of ventricular extrasystoles [10, 11].

In the era of powerful marketing-made-easy, clinicians (in contrast to investigators performing basic research in cardiology using human tissue [6, 7, 12] or animal models with gene transfer to mimic human disease [8, 9]) have remained largely unaware of the “ventricular” role of *If* in HF. Why is this “other” role of the *If* current in the human heart not generally known? The reasons seem to be several-fold and stems from the following: (1) pivotal clinical trials of ivabradine excluded subjects with high arrhythmogenic potential (history of ventricular tachycardia [VT] or implantable cardioverter-defibrillator [ICD], recent shock(s) as fundamental exclusion criteria [13]); (2) neglect (in contrast to more recent trials in HF [14]) of arrhythmic death and/or aborted arrhythmic death as a registered study endpoint [13, 15]; (3) poor sensitivity to findings from large studies suggesting reduction of ventricular arrhythmogenicity with ivabradine [16]; and (4) lack, until today [2], of clinical studies designed to address the anti-arrhythmic effect of *If* inhibition in ventricular myocytes in human HF [6, 7, 10].

The above, taken together with the marketing drive for “simple” messages [3, 4], may explain why the anti-arrhythmic effect of *If* blockade in ventricular myocardium with ivabradine treatment in human HF has not made it to wide awareness of the clinical community.

IF-DEPENDENT VENTRICULAR ARRHYTHMOGENECITY: MECHANISMS AND EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE

Several lines of evidence indicate that in failing ventricular myocytes functional expression of the *If* current can (similar to the *If* physiologic action in the sinoatrial node) contribute to spontaneous diastolic depolarisations, spontaneous action potentials, and ventricular arrhythmogenicity. What in the sinoatrial node is manifested as a normal *If*-dependent spontaneous pacemaker activity, in diseased ventricular myocardium shows as ectopic electrical activity and ventricular arrhythmia in the form of isolated extrasystoles or runs of extrasystoles that, if fast enough, may constitute non-sustained VT or VT [10, 17]. Although there appear to be some differences in the *If* molecular basis and regulation in the sinoatrial node vs. ventricular myocardium [5–7, 10, 11, 18, 19], there is no doubt that in both locations *If* is highly responsive to adrenergic stimulation and is inhibited with ivabradine [5–7, 10]. In myopathic ventricular cells in human HF catecholamines shift the *If* activation threshold towards less negative potentials and thus the current becomes functional at typical diastolic potentials [6]. Beta-adrenergic stimulation also elevates the amplitude of *If* in pathologic ventricular myocytes [6], increasing the role of *If*-dependent ectopic electrical activity as an arrhythmogenic mechanism [6, 7, 10]. This is consistent

with the well-known pro-arrhythmogenic effect of endo- or exogenous adrenergic stimulation in HF [11, 20] and the role of catecholamines as an established trigger of ventricular arrhythmia and sudden death in HF [20, 21].

If blockers (including ivabradine — the only *If* blocker in clinical use today) inhibit ventricular *f*-channels through the same mode of action as in the sinoatrial node cells [5, 6, 22].

Ventricular *If* contribution to the increased risk of malignant ventricular arrhythmias and sudden death through favouring spontaneous diastolic depolarisation in ventricular myocytes [22, 23], has been recently studied in a model of forced expression of *f*-channels in normal myocardium using somatic gene transfer [8]. These studies demonstrated that *f*-channels overexpression in undiseased ventricular myocytes, mimicking their spontaneous pathologic expression in HF, indeed leads to abnormal ventricular automaticity via *If*-dependent spontaneous depolarisations in the ventricular myocardium [8]. The abnormal, *If*-dependent electrical activity in the ventricular myocardium is enhanced by adrenergic stimulation [8, 10], and it is sensitive to pharmacological inhibition with ivabradine consistent with a direct, causal role of *If* [8].

It is important to realise that the pathologic, functional *If* expression in ventricular myocytes [6, 7, 12] leading to abnormal automaticity is just one of several mechanisms that promote ventricular arrhythmia in HF; others include delayed afterdepolarisations and re-entry [11, 20, 21]. However, mapping experiments have demonstrated that ventricular arrhythmias in HF are mainly due to nonreentrant mechanisms [21], an effect consistent with a role of *If*-dependent abnormal automaticity causing ectopic electrical activity [8, 11].

CLINICAL RELEVANCE OF VENTRICULAR ARRHYTHMOGENICITY SUPPRESSION WITH IF BLOCKADE: LINES OF PRIOR EVIDENCE IN HEART FAILURE PATIENTS

The pivotal SHIfT study of ivabradine in human HF randomised 6558 patients with chronic HF on guideline-based optimised HF therapy (ACE inhibitor and/or ARB in 93% and beta-blocker at maximally-tolerated dose in 93%) [13] to ivabradine vs. placebo. SHIfT was incapable of any direct evaluation of the anti-arrhythmic effect of “ventricular” *If*-blockade for two fundamental reasons. First, arrhythmic death, unfortunately, was not an endpoint in SHIfT [13] and because it was not evaluated, SHIfT reports do not include any specific data on arrhythmic death [13]. Secondly, history of any VT (unless a cardioverter-defibrillator, ICD, was already implanted) or — in those with ICD — ICD shock within six months prior to randomisation, formed exclusion criteria in SHIfT [13]. Despite these limitations, SHIfT has provided several lines of indirect evidence that the *If* blocking effect of ivabradine in the ventricular myocardium might be clinically relevant even in the lower-risk HF population. Not only was

the primary (combined) endpoint of cardiovascular death or hospitalisation for worsening HF reduced by ivabradine (24% vs. 29%, $p < 0.0001$ [13], but also ivabradine significantly reduced cardiovascular deaths (16% vs. 22%, $p = 0.048$ [15]) and deaths from HF (4% vs. 8%, $p = 0.019$ [15]). Since malignant ventricular arrhythmia is one of the principal mechanisms of death in HF [20, 24], the reduction in HF death and overall cardiovascular death with ivabradine treatment in SHIfT is likely to have occurred in part due to reduction in arrhythmic death.

The significant bias in SHIfT towards the lower-risk HF patient population (exclusion of patients with VT or ICD shock within six months prior to randomisation [13]) a priori minimised the potential of SHIfT to detect the benefit of malignant ventricular arrhythmia suppression occurring through “ventricular” *If* inhibition with ivabradine. Nevertheless, ECG Holter recordings in SHIfT (albeit limited to a small fraction of the SHIfT population and limited to 24 h on a single occasion at baseline and 24 h on a single occasion at eight months) clearly suggested a reduction in non-sustained VT with ivabradine (10% on ivabradine vs. 15% on placebo; absolute risk reduction by 5% and relative risk reduction by 33%) [15]. It is widely appreciated that while 24-h Holter recordings provide relatively reliable information on overall heart rate control (the focus of ivabradine vs. placebo investigation in SHIfT Holter substudy [15, 16]), this (short) recording duration is insufficient to determine rare arrhythmic episodes [25] due to a profound mismatch between the life-threatening ventricular arrhythmia prevalence (risk) vs. recording duration of only 24 h. Regrettably, 24-h ECG Holter recordings were obtained only in 501 out of 6558 patients in SHIfT (7.6%), resulting in the Holter analysis being underpowered for life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias and thus lacking the “statistical significance” of the anti-arrhythmic effect of ivabradine in HF [15]. In consequence, the 1/3 reduction in non-sustained VT with ivabradine in the SHIfT Holter substudy, although reported [15], has passed largely unnoticed.

IVABRADINE ATTENUATION OF VENTRICULAR ARRHYTHMIA IN DECOMPENSATED HEART FAILURE WITH BACKGROUND ADRENERGIC STIMULATION: NOVEL CLINICAL DATA

Sympathetic stimulation is a well-known, clinically-relevant trigger of ventricular arrhythmias in HF (including life-threatening ones) [20, 21]. At the same time, catecholamines potently stimulate the *If* current in the ventricular myocardium in human HF by reducing its activation threshold and increasing the amplitude of the current in human failing cardiomyocytes [6, 7]. Ventricular ectopic beats in patients with HF are an important risk factor for VT and arrhythmic death [26].

New clinical research data from the study on ‘Effects of ivabradine and beta-blocker therapy on dobutamine-induced ventricular arrhythmias in heart failure’ [2] indicate suppres-

sion of ventricular arrhythmogenicity in decompensated HF with ivabradine treatment in the presence of background adrenergic stimulation of increasing intensity. Using a clinically-relevant study protocol, Mert et al. [2] effectively overcame the challenging logistics and randomised 58 patients with decompensated HF and left ventricular ejection fraction $< 35\%$ requiring pharmacologic inotropic support to ivabradine vs. placebo (1:1). Continuous ECG recordings were performed at baseline, on ivabradine vs. placebo prior to dobutamine administration, and then at increasing doses of dobutamine with ivabradine or placebo on board [2]. Ventricular arrhythmogenicity was compared with that in a non-randomised group of similar patients on beta-blocker, subjected to increasing doses of dobutamine according to a similar protocol. The present findings [2] suggest a reduction in ventricular ectopic beats with ivabradine by $\approx 40\%$ at the low and moderate dobutamine dose. Unsurprisingly, the high dobutamine dose was able to overcome the antiarrhythmic effect of ivabradine, presumably due to the magnitude of concurrent recruitment of ventricular arrhythmia mechanisms other than *If*-dependent enhanced automaticity. Because the majority of patients on ivabradine today are also on a beta-blocker [13], a clearly missing ‘natural’ study group in this work [2] is that of a combined ivabradine plus beta-blocker. Thus, it remains to be elucidated whether the anti-arrhythmic effect of ivabradine is additive to the anti-arrhythmic effect of beta-blockade. Importantly, the emerging technical ability to monitor heart rate continuously in a non-invasive manner [27] may overcome the shortcomings of ECG Holter recordings limited to 6-h intervals [2] or single-occasion 24 h [14, 15, 25]. The new technology [27] will conveniently enable long-term evaluation of the clinical significance of ventricular arrhythmia attenuation with ivabradine in human HF.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, evidence in human cardiac tissue from failing hearts explanted at heart transplantation and in experimental models of human disease [6–11, 17, 22] shows consistently that *If* activation in ventricular myocardium may enhance ectopic electrical activity and promote arrhythmogenesis in HF [17, 22]; an effect particularly significant in the presence of background adrenergic stimulation [6, 7, 16].

The popular assumption that the action of *If* inhibitors is limited to the sinoatrial node [1] is true for the healthy heart [5, 22] because in the healthy heart *f*-channels are functionally expressed only in sinoatrial node cells. In myocardial disease, and in HF in particular, the *f*-channels undergo a pathologic, functional expression in the ventricular myocardium [6, 7, 12, 23]. By favouring spontaneous diastolic depolarisation in ventricular myocytes, the *If* current in human failing cardiomyocytes may contribute to the increased risk of malignant ventricular arrhythmias and death in patients with HF [6–10]. Prior work demonstrated that ventricular *If* is responsive to

blockade with ivabradine [8, 10]. With a smart clinical study design leading to novel findings, Mert et al. [2] indicate today an anti-arrhythmic effect of “ventricular” If blockade with ivabradine leading to reduction in ventricular arrhythmias in the presence of background adrenergic stimulation in human HF. This work [2] opens a new clinical research avenue and it should encourage further studies of the relevance of “ventricular” If blockade in the field of research that remains continuously active [9, 22, 23, 28–31] due to the fundamental clinical implications for HF patients.

Conflict of interest: none declared

References

- Ivabradine – Summary of product characteristics. . http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/000597/WC500043590.pdf (accessed 7 October 2017).
- Mert KU, Mert GÖ, Morrad B, et al. Effects of ivabradine and beta-blocker therapy on dobutamine-induced ventricular arrhythmias. *Kardiol Pol.* 2017; 75(8): 786–793, doi: [10.5603/KP.a2017.0094](#), indexed in Pubmed: [28541596](#).
- Spurling GK, Mansfield PR, Montgomery BD, et al. Information from pharmaceutical companies and the quality, quantity, and cost of physicians’ prescribing: a systematic review. *PLoS Med.* 2010; 7(10): e1000352, doi: [10.1371/journal.pmed.1000352](#), indexed in Pubmed: [20976098](#).
- Salmasi S, Ming LC, Khan TM. Interaction and medical inducement between pharmaceutical representatives and physicians: a meta-synthesis. *J Pharm Policy Pract.* 2016; 9: 37, doi: [10.1186/s40545-016-0089-z](#), indexed in Pubmed: [27891235](#).
- DiFrancesco D. The role of the funny current in pacemaker activity. *Circ Res.* 2010; 106(3): 434–446, doi: [10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109.208041](#), indexed in Pubmed: [20167941](#).
- Cerbai E, Pino R, Porciatti F, et al. Characterization of the hyperpolarization-activated current, I(f), in ventricular myocytes from human failing heart. *Circulation.* 1997; 95(3): 568–571, indexed in Pubmed: [9024140](#).
- Cerbai E, Sartiani L, DePaoli P, et al. The properties of the pacemaker current I(F) in human ventricular myocytes are modulated by cardiac disease. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2001; 33(3): 441–448, doi: [10.1006/jmcc.2000.1316](#), indexed in Pubmed: [11181013](#).
- Xue T, Siu CW, Lieu DK, et al. Mechanistic role of I(f) revealed by induction of ventricular automaticity by somatic gene transfer of gating-engineered pacemaker (HCN) channels. *Circulation.* 2007; 115(14): 1839–1850, doi: [10.1161/CIRCULATION-AHA.106.659391](#), indexed in Pubmed: [17389267](#).
- Joyner MJ, Nattel S. Fast and furious: new ways to think about, study and treat cardiac arrhythmias. *J Physiol.* 2009; 587(Pt 7): 1383–1384, doi: [10.1113/jphysiol.2009.170936](#), indexed in Pubmed: [19336610](#).
- Plotnikov AN, Bucchi A, Shlapakova I, et al. HCN212-channel biological pacemakers manifesting ventricular tachyarrhythmias are responsive to treatment with I(f) blockade. *Heart Rhythm.* 2008; 5(2): 282–288, doi: [10.1016/j.hrthm.2007.09.028](#), indexed in Pubmed: [18242555](#).
- Musialek P. If current inhibition and mortality reduction in heart failure: more than just a ‘pure’ effect of lowering heart rate. *Kardiol Pol.* 2013; 71(7): 764–767, doi: [10.5603/KP.2013.0168](#), indexed in Pubmed: [23907914](#).
- Stillitano F, Lonardo G, Zicha S, et al. Molecular basis of funny current (If) in normal and failing human heart. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2008; 45(2): 289–299, doi: [10.1016/j.jmcc.2008.04.013](#), indexed in Pubmed: [18556018](#).
- Swedberg K, Komajda M, Böhm M, et al. Ivabradine and outcomes in chronic heart failure (SHIFT): a randomised placebo-controlled study. *Lancet.* 2010; 376(9744): 875–885, doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)61198-1](#), indexed in Pubmed: [20801500](#).
- Bartunek J, Terzic A, Davison B, et al. Cardiopoietic cell therapy for advanced ischemic heart failure: results at 39 weeks of the prospective, randomized, double blind, sham-controlled CHART-1 clinical trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2017; 38(9): 648–660, doi: [10.1093/eurheartj/ehw543](#).
- Böhm M, Borer JS, Camm J, et al. Twenty-four-hour heart rate lowering with ivabradine in chronic heart failure: insights from the SHIFT Holter substudy. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2015; 17(5): 518–526, doi: [10.1002/ehf.258](#), indexed in Pubmed: [25801408](#).
- Tavazzi L, Swedberg K, Komajda M, et al. Efficacy and safety of ivabradine in chronic heart failure across the age spectrum: insights from the SHIFT study. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2013; 15(11): 1296–1303, doi: [10.1093/eurjhf/hft102](#), indexed in Pubmed: [23803951](#).
- Valiunas V, Kanaporis G, Valiuniene L, et al. Coupling an HCN2-expressing cell to a myocyte creates a two-cell pacing unit. *J Physiol.* 2009; 587(Pt 21): 5211–5226, doi: [10.1113/jphysiol.2009.180505](#), indexed in Pubmed: [19736302](#).
- Musialek P, Lei M, Brown HF, et al. Nitric oxide can increase heart rate by stimulating the hyperpolarization-activated inward current, I(f). *Circ Res.* 1997; 81(1): 60–68, indexed in Pubmed: [9201028](#).
- Musialek P. Nitric oxide and cardiac pacemaking. DPhil Thesis. University of Oxford, Faculty of Clinical Medicine. 1999.
- Bigger JT. Why patients with congestive heart failure die: arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. *Circulation.* 1987; 75(5 Pt 2): IV28–IV35, indexed in Pubmed: [3552300](#).
- Kjekshus J. Arrhythmias and mortality in congestive heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* 1990; 65(19): 42–48, doi: [10.1016/0002-9149\(90\)90125-k](#).
- Sartiani L, Romanelli MN, Mugelli A, et al. Updates on HCN channels in the heart: function, dysfunction and pharmacology. *Curr Drug Targets.* 2015; 16(8): 868–876, indexed in Pubmed: [26028050](#).
- Zhao X, Gu T. Dysfunctional hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels in cardiac diseases. *Braz J Cardiovasc Surg.* 2016; 31(2): 203–206, doi: [10.5935/1678-9741.201600030](#), indexed in Pubmed: [27556324](#).
- Patel J, Heywood JT. Mode of death in patients with systolic heart failure. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther.* 2007; 12(2): 127–136, doi: [10.1177/1074248407303133](#), indexed in Pubmed: [17562783](#).
- Suissa L, Lachaud S, Mahagne MH. Optimal timing and duration of continuous electrocardiographic monitoring for detecting atrial fibrillation in stroke patients. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2013; 22(7): 991–995, doi: [10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2012.01.015](#), indexed in Pubmed: [22349706](#).
- Smilde TDJ, van Veldhuisen DJ, van den Berg MP. Prognostic value of heart rate variability and ventricular arrhythmias during 13-year follow-up in patients with mild to moderate heart failure. *Clin Res Cardiol.* 2009; 98(4): 233–239, doi: [10.1007/s00392-009-0747-0](#), indexed in Pubmed: [19219394](#).
- Akiyama H, Nukui S, Araga T, et al. Utility of Durata, a wireless patch-type electrocardiographic monitoring system developed in Japan, in detecting covert atrial fibrillation in patients with cryptogenic stroke: A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2017; 96(6): e5995, doi: [10.1097/MD.0000000000005995](#), indexed in Pubmed: [28178140](#).
- Li H, Zhou Y, Jiang B, et al. Dual effects of amiodarone on pacemaker currents in hypertrophied ventricular myocytes isolated from spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol.* 2014; 41(9): 698–707, doi: [10.1111/1440-1681.12264](#), indexed in Pubmed: [24862298](#).
- Lopshire JC, Zhou X, Dusa C, et al. Spinal cord stimulation improves ventricular function and reduces ventricular arrhythmias in a canine postinfarction heart failure model. *Circulation.* 2009; 120(4): 286–294, doi: [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.812412](#), indexed in Pubmed: [19597055](#).
- Schwartz PJ. Cardiac sympathetic denervation to prevent life-threatening arrhythmias. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* 2014; 11(6): 346–353, doi: [10.1038/nrcardio.2014.19](#), indexed in Pubmed: [24614115](#).
- Frolow AV, Vaikhanskaya TG, Melnikova OP, et al. Risk stratification personalised model for prediction of life-threatening ventricular tachyarrhythmias in patients with chronic heart failure. *Kardiol Pol.* 2017; 75(7): 682–688, doi: [10.5603/KP.a2017.0060](#), indexed in Pubmed: [28708197](#).

Cite this article as: Musialek P. Drug action(s), drug marketing, and clinical medicine. Suppression of ventricular arrhythmogenicity with If blockade in human heart failure: emerging clinical evidence for ivabradine treatment benefit beyond heart rate control. *Kardiol Pol.* 2017; 75(12): 1368–1371, doi: [10.5603/KP.2017.0238](#).